arXiv:1412.5849v2 [math.CO] 31 Jul 2015

The rainbow connection number of the power graph of a finite group

Xuanlong Ma[a] Min Feng[b,a] Kaishun Wang[a]

[a] *Sch. Math. Sci. & Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China*

[b] *Department of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China*

Abstract

This paper studies the rainbow connection number of the power graph Γ_G of a finite group G. We determine the rainbow connection number of Γ_G if G has maximal involutions or is nilpotent, and show that the rainbow connection number of Γ_G is at most three if G has no maximal involutions. The rainbow connection numbers of power graphs of some nonnilpotent groups are also given.

Key words: Rainbow path; rainbow connection number; finite group; power graph.

2010 MSC: 05C25; 05C15.

1 Introduction

Given a connected graph Γ, denote by $V(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma)$ the vertex set and edge set, respectively. Define a coloring $\zeta : E(\Gamma) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where adjacent edges may be colored the same. A path P is *rainbow* if any two edges in P are colored distinct. If Γ has a rainbow path from u to v for each pair of vertices u and v, then Γ is *rainbow-connected* under the coloring ζ, and ζ is called a *rainbow k-coloring* of Γ. The *rainbow connection number* of Γ, denoted by $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma)$, is the minimum k for which there exists a rainbow k-coloring of Γ.

The rainbow connection number of a graph Γ was introduced by Chartrand et al. [7]. It was showed in [5, 20] that computing $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma)$ is NP-hard. Moreover, it has been proved in [20], that for any fixed $t \geq 2$, deciding if $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma) = t$ is NP-complete. Some topics on restrict graphs are as follows: oriented graphs [8], graph products [14], hypergraphs [4], corona graphs [9], line graphs [24], Cayley graphs [21], dense graphs [22] and sparse random graphs [12]. Most of the results and papers that dealt with it can be found in [23].

In this paper we study the rainbow connection number of the power graph of a finite group. We always use G to denote a finite group with the identity e. The *power graph* Γ_G has the vertex set G and two distinct elements are adjacent if one is

E-mail address: xuanlma@mail.bnu.edu.cn (X. Ma), fgmn_1998@163.com (M. Feng), wangks@bnu.edu.cn (K. Wang).

a power of the other. The concept of a power graph was introduced in [16]. Recently, many interesting results on power graphs have been obtained, see [2, 3, 6, 10, 11, 17–19, 25]. A detailed list of results and open questions on power graphs can be found in [1]. (Since our paper deals only with undirected graphs, for convenience throughout we use the term "power graph" to refer to an undirected power graph defined as above, see also [16], Section 3).

A finite group is called a p-group if its order is a power of p, where p is a prime. In G, an element of order 2 is called an involution. An involution x is *maximal* if the only cyclic subgroup containing x is the subgroup $\langle x\rangle$ generated by x. Denote by M_G the set of all maximal involutions of G. We use M_G to discuss the rainbow connection number of Γ_G.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we express $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G)$ in terms of $|M_G|$ if $M_G \neq \emptyset$. In Section 3 we show that $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) \leq 3$ if $M_G = \emptyset$. In particular, we determine $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G)$ if G is nilpotent. The rainbow connection numbers of power graphs of some nonnilpotent groups are also given.

2 $M_G \neq \emptyset$

In this section we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *Let G be a finite group of order at least 3. Then*

$$\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } 1 \leq |M_G| \leq 2; \\ |M_G|, & \text{if } |M_G| \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) \geq |M_G|$.

Proof. Let $M_G = \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$. Observe that e is the unique vertex adjacent to z_i in Γ_G, where $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Hence, for each pair of maximal involutions z_i and z_j, the path from z_i to z_j is unique, which is (z_i, e, z_j). Suppose ζ is a rainbow k-coloring of Γ_G. Then $|\{\zeta(\{z_i, e\}) : i = 1, \ldots, m\}| = m$, and so $k \geq m$, as desired. □

For $x \in G$, let $[x] = \{y \in G : \langle y\rangle = \langle x\rangle\}$. Then $\{[x] : x \in G\}$ is a partition of G.

Lemma 2.3 $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) \leq \max\{|M_G|, 3\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{[x_1], \ldots, [x_s]\}$ and $\{[x_{s+1}], \ldots, [x_{s+t}]\}$ are partitions of $\{x \in G : |x| \text{ is even}, |x| \geq 4\}$ and $\{x \in G : |x| \text{ is odd}, |x| \geq 3\}$, respectively. For $1 \leq i \leq s$, let u_i be the involution in $\langle x_i\rangle$. Write $M_G = \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \{\{e, x\} : x \in \cup_{i=1}^{s+t}([x_i] \setminus \{x_i\})\} \cup \{\{u_i, x_i\} : i = 1, \ldots, s\}, \\ E_2 &= \{\{e, x_i\} : i = 1, \ldots, s+t\} \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{s}\{\{u_i, x\} : x \in [x_i] \setminus \{x_i\}\}), \\ E_3 &= E(\Gamma_G) \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \{\{e, z_j\} : j = 1, \ldots, m\}). \end{aligned}$$

The sets of edges E_1, E_2 and $\{\{e, z_j\} : j = 1, \ldots, m\}$ are showed in Figure 1.



Figure 1: The set of edges $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \{\{e, z_j\} : j = 1, \ldots, m\}$

Let $k = \max\{|M_G|, 3\}$. Define a coloring

$$\zeta : E(\Gamma_G) \longrightarrow \{1, \ldots, k\}, \quad f \longmapsto \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } f \in E_i, \quad \text{where } i = 1, 2, 3; \\ j, & \text{if } f = \{e, z_j\}, \quad \text{where } j = 1, \ldots, m. \end{cases}$$

In order to get the desired inequality, we only need to show that ζ is a rainbow k-coloring of Γ_G. Pick a pair of nonadjacent vertices v and w of Γ_G. It suffices to find a rainbow path from v to w under the coloring ζ. If $\zeta(\{e, v\}) \neq \zeta(\{e, w\})$, then (v, e, w) is a desired rainbow path. Now suppose $\zeta(\{e, v\}) = \zeta(\{e, w\})$. Then $\{v, w\} \not\subseteq (M_G \cup \{e\})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $v \in V(\Gamma_G) \setminus (M_G \cup \{e\})$. As shown in Figure 1, there exists a vertex $v' \in V(\Gamma_G) \setminus (M_G \cup \{e\})$ such that

$$\{\zeta(\{e, v\}), \zeta(\{e, v'\}), \zeta(\{v, v'\})\} = \{1, 2, 3\},$$

which implies that (v, v', e, w) is a rainbow path, as desired. □

Combining Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we get the following.

Proposition 2.4 *If* $|M_G| \geq 3$, *then* $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = |M_G|$.

For a prime p, let $s_p(G)$ denote the number of subgroups of order p in G.

Lemma 2.5 ([13, Section 4, I]) *Let p be a prime dividing the order of G. Then*

$$s_p(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Lemma 2.6 *Let p be a prime dividing $|G|$. If* $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 2$, *then* $s_p(G) = 1$.

Proof. Suppose for the contrary that $s_p(G) \neq 1$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $s_p(G) \geq 3$. Let $\langle y_1 \rangle$, $\langle y_2 \rangle$ and $\langle y_3 \rangle$ be pairwise distinct subgroups of order p in G. Note that, for $i \neq j$, there is no cyclic subgroup containing $\langle y_i \rangle$ and $\langle y_j \rangle$. Hence, the path from y_i to y_j with length 2 is unique, which is (y_i, e, y_j). For any rainbow k-coloring ζ of Γ_G, we deduce that $\zeta(\{e, y_1\}), \zeta(\{e, y_2\})$ and $\zeta(\{e, y_3\})$ are pairwise distinct, which implies that $k \geq 3$, contrary to $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 2$. □

By Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.6, we get the following result.

Proposition 2.7 *If* $|M_G| = 2$, *then* $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 3$.

Proposition 2.8 *If* $|G| \geq 3$ *and* $|M_G| = 1$, *then* $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 3$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) \leq 3$. Suppose for the contrary that $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) \leq 2$. If $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 1$, then Γ_G is a complete graph, and so G is a cyclic group of prime power order by [6, Theorem 2.12], contrary to $|G| \geq 3$ and $|M_G| = 1$. In the following assume that $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 2$.

Suppose that G is a 2-group. By Lemma 2.6, the involution is unique, which implies that G is cyclic or generalised quaternion by [15, Theorem 5.4.10 (ii)], a contradiction.

Suppose that $|G|$ has a prime divisor p at least 3. Let x be an element of G with $|x| = p$. Write $M_G = \{z\}$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that $\langle x\rangle$ and $\langle z\rangle$ are normal subgroups in G. Note that $\langle x\rangle \cap \langle z\rangle = \langle e\rangle$. So $\langle x\rangle\langle z\rangle$ is a cyclic group, contrary to the fact that z is maximal. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1 follows from Propositions 2.4, 2.7 and 2.8.

For $n \geq 3$, let D_{2n} denote the dihedral group of order $2n$, where

$$D_{2n} = \langle a, b : a^n = b^2 = 1, bab = a^{-1}\rangle.$$

$\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ denotes the elementary abelian 2-group. Note that $M_{D_{2n}} = \{b, ab, a^2b, \ldots, a^{n-1}b\}$ and $M_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}$ consists of all nonidentity elements. By Theorem 2.1, we get the following.

Example 1 For $n \geq 3$, we have $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_{D_{2n}}) = n$ and $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n}) = 2^n - 1$.

3 $M_G = \emptyset$

In this section we study the rainbow connection number of Γ_G when G has no maximal involutions.

For a positive integer n, let $D(n)$ be the set of all divisors of n. Denote by ϕ the Euler's totient function. In view of [26, Part VIII, Problem 45], one has $\phi(n) \geq |D(n)| - 2$. For $x \in G$, recall that $[x] = \{y \in G : \langle y\rangle = \langle x\rangle\}$. Write

$$E_1(\langle x\rangle) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{|D(|x|)|-2} \{\{x_i, y\} : y \in \langle x\rangle, |y| = d_i\}, \tag{1}$$

where $[x] = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{\phi(|x|)}\}$ and $D(|x|) = \{1, d_1, \ldots, d_{|D(|x|)|-2}, |x|\}$ (see Figure 2).



Figure 2: The partition of $V(\Gamma_{\langle x\rangle})$ and the set of edges $E_1(\langle x\rangle)$

Theorem 3.1 *Let G be a finite group with no maximal involutions.*

(i) *If G is cyclic, then*

$$\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |G| \text{ is a prime power;} \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If G is noncyclic, then* $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 2$ *or* 3.

Proof. (i) Write $G = \langle x \rangle$. If $|x|$ is a prime power, then Γ_G is a complete graph by [6, Theorem 2.12], and so $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 1$. Now suppose that $|x|$ is not a power of any prime. Then $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) \geq 2$. With reference to (1), write $E_1 = E_1(\langle x \rangle)$. It is clear that $E_1 \subseteq E(\Gamma_G)$. Let $E_2 = E(\Gamma_G) \setminus E_1$. Define a coloring

$$\zeta : E(\Gamma_G) \longrightarrow \{1, 2\}, \quad f \longmapsto i \text{ if } f \in E_i.$$

In order to get the desired result, we only need to show that ζ is a rainbow 2-coloring. For any pair of nonadjacent vertices v and w, there exist distinct indices i and j in $\{1, \ldots, |D(|x|)| - 2\}$ such that $|v| = d_i$ and $|w| = d_j$. It follows from Figure 2 that (v, x_i, w) is a rainbow path under the coloring ζ, as desired.

(ii) It is immediate from Lemma 2.3. □

We first give two examples for computing $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G)$ when G is noncyclic with no maximal involutions. The generalized quaternion group is defined by

$$Q_{4n} = \langle x, y : x^n = y^2, x^{2n} = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle, \qquad n \geq 2. \tag{2}$$

Example 2 If n is odd, then $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_{Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_n}) = 2$.

Proof. There are exactly three maximal cyclic subgroup in $Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, which we denote by $\langle x_1 \rangle$, $\langle x_2 \rangle$ and $\langle x_3 \rangle$. It is easy to see that $|x_1| = |x_2| = |x_3| = 4n$. Let C be a subgroup of order $2n$ in $\langle x_1 \rangle$. Then $C = \langle x_i \rangle \cap \langle x_j \rangle$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. Write $D(n) = \{d_1, \ldots, d_t\}$. Let B_i, C_i and D_i be the set of generators of the subgroup of order $4d_i$ in $\langle x_1 \rangle$, $\langle x_2 \rangle$ and $\langle x_3 \rangle$, respectively. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Gamma_{Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_n}) &= C \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} (B_i \cup C_i \cup D_i), \\ E(\Gamma_{Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_n}) &= E(\Gamma_{\langle x_1 \rangle}) \cup E(\Gamma_{\langle x_2 \rangle}) \cup E(\Gamma_{\langle x_3 \rangle}). \end{aligned}$$

The partition of $V(\Gamma_{Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_n})$ is showed in Figure 3, where u is the unique involution. With reference to (1), there exists a unique vertex $x_3' \in [x_3]$ such that $\{u, x_3'\} \in E_1(\langle x_3 \rangle)$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} E_1' &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} (\{\{e, x\} : x \in B_i\} \cup \{\{u, x\} : x \in C_i\}), \\ E_1 &= E_1' \cup E_1(\langle x_1 \rangle) \cup E_1(\langle x_2 \rangle) \cup (E_1(\langle x_3 \rangle) \setminus \{\{u, x_3'\}\}). \end{aligned}$$



Figure 3: The partition of $V(\Gamma_{Q_8\times\mathbb{Z}_n})$ and the set of edges E_1'

It is clear that $E_1 \subseteq E(\Gamma_{Q_8\times\mathbb{Z}_n})$. Write $E_2 = E(\Gamma_{Q_8\times\mathbb{Z}_n}) \setminus E_1$. Define a coloring

$$\zeta : E(\Gamma_{Q_8\times\mathbb{Z}_n}) \longrightarrow \{1,2\}, \quad f \longmapsto k \text{ if } f \in E_k.$$

For $i = 1, 2, 3$, let Δ_i be the subgraph of $\Gamma_{\langle x_i\rangle}$ induced by $V(\Gamma_{\langle x_i\rangle})\setminus\{e, u\}$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 (i), we deduce that $\zeta|_{E(\Delta_i)}$ is a rainbow 2-coloring of Δ_i. If vertices v and w satisfy $u \notin \{v, w\}$ and $\{v, w\} \not\subseteq V(\Delta_i)$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then (v, e, w) or (v, u, w) is a rainbow path under ζ from Figure 3. If v is a vertex that is not adjacent to u, there exists a vertex $x_3'' \in [x_3]\setminus\{x_3'\}$ such that $\{x_3'', v\} \in E_1(\langle x_3\rangle)$, and so (u, x_3'', v) is a rainbow path under ζ. It follows that ζ is a rainbow 2-coloring of $\Gamma_{Q_8\times\mathbb{Z}_n}$. This completes the proof. □

Example 3 If $n \geq 3$, then $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_{Q_{4n}}) = 3$.

Proof. With reference to (2), we have $y^{-1} = x^n y$ and $(x^i y)^{-1} = x^{2n-i}y$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Gamma_{Q_{4n}}) &= \{e, x, \ldots, x^{2n-1}\} \cup (\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \{x^i y, (x^i y)^{-1}\}), \\ E(\Gamma_{Q_{4n}}) &= E(\Gamma_{\langle x\rangle}) \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} E(\Gamma_{\langle x^i y\rangle}), \end{aligned}$$

as shown in Figure 4. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_{Q_{4n}}) = 2$ or 3. Suppose



Figure 4: $\Gamma_{Q_{4n}}$

for the contrary that there exists a rainbow 2-coloring ζ of $\Gamma_{Q_{4n}}$.

Assume that $n = 3$. Without loss of generality, let $\zeta(\{e, x^2\}) = 1$. Then $\zeta(\{e, x^i y\}) = 2$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Hence, for $0 \leq i < j \leq 2$, the rainbow path from $x^i y$ to $x^j y$ is $(x^i y, x^3, x^j y)$, which implies that $\zeta(\{y, x^3\})$, $\zeta(\{xy, x^3\})$ and $\zeta(\{x^2 y, x^3\})$ are pairwise distinct, a contradiction. Therefore $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_{Q_{12}}) = 3$.

In the following, assume that $n \geq 4$. Let Δ be the induced subgraph of $\Gamma_{Q_{4n}}$ on the vertices $\{e, x, y, xy, x^2 y, x^3 y, x^n\}$. Then $\zeta|_{E(\Delta)}$ is a rainbow 2-coloring of Δ.

We claim that there exists a rainbow path from e to x^n with length 2 under $\zeta|_{E(\Delta)}$ in Δ. In fact, if $\zeta|_{E(\Delta)}(\{e, x^i y\}) = \zeta|_{E(\Delta)}(\{x^i y, x^n\})$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, then there exist two distinct indices j and k in $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ such that

$$\zeta|_{E(\Delta)}(\{e, x^j y\}) = \zeta|_{E(\Delta)}(\{x^j y, x^n\}) = \zeta|_{E(\Delta)}(\{e, x^k y\}) = \zeta|_{E(\Delta)}(\{x^k y, x^n\}),$$

which implies that there is no rainbow path from $x^j y$ to $x^k y$ under $\zeta|_{E(\Delta)}$ in Δ, a contradiction. Hence, the claim is valid.

Let Δ_0 be the graph obtained from Δ by deleting the edge $\{e, x_n\}$. Then Δ_0 is isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,5}$. By the claim above, we have $\mathrm{rc}(K_{2,5}) = 2$, contrary to [7, Theorem 2.6]. □

For a noncyclic group G with no maximal involutions, it is difficult for us to determine which groups G satisfy $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 2$. However, we give a sufficient condition.

Proposition 3.2 *If G is a group of order $p^n q$ for positive integer n, where p, q are distinct primes and $p < q$, such that the following conditions hold, then* $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 2$.

(i) *Each Sylow p-subgroup is cyclic and the Sylow q-subgroup is unique.*

(ii) *The intersection of all Sylow p-subgroups is of order p^{n-1}.*

(iii) $p^{n-1} \geq q$.

Proof. Note that the number of Sylow p-subgroups is q. Suppose that $\{P_1, \ldots, P_q\}$ is the set of all Sylow p-subgroups, and Q is the unique Sylow q-subgroup. Then $\cap_{i=1}^q P_i$ and Q are cyclic and normal in G. Hence, there exists an element x of order $p^{n-1}q$ such that $(\cap_{i=1}^q P_i)Q = \langle x \rangle$, and so the set of all cyclic subgroups of G is

$$\{P_1, \ldots, P_q\} \cup \{\langle y \rangle : y \in \langle x \rangle\}.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq q$, let A_i be the set of all generators of P_i. By (iii) we choose pairwise distinct elements $u_1, \ldots, u_{q-1}$ in $(\cap_{i=1}^q P_i) \setminus \{e\}$. With reference to (1), write

$$\begin{aligned} E_1' &= \{\{e, y\} : y \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} A_i\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{q-1} \{\{u_i, y\} : y \in A_i\}, \\ E_1 &= E_1' \cup E_1(\langle x \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

The set E_1' is showed in Figure 5. It is clear that $E_1 \subseteq E(\Gamma_G)$. Let $E_2 = E(\Gamma_G) \setminus E_1$. Define a coloring

$$\zeta : E(\Gamma_G) \longrightarrow \{1, 2\}, \quad f \longmapsto k \text{ if } f \in E_k.$$

In order to get the desired result, we only need to show that ζ is a rainbow 2-coloring of Γ_G. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $\zeta|_{E(\Gamma_{\langle x \rangle})}$ is a rainbow 2-coloring



Figure 5: $V(\Gamma_G)$ and the set of edges E_1'

of $\Gamma_{\langle x \rangle}$. Pick any pair of nonadjacent vertices z and w such that $\{z, w\} \not\subseteq V(\Gamma_{\langle x \rangle})$. It suffices to find a rainbow path from z to w under ζ. Without loss of generality, assume that $z \in \cup_{i=1}^{q} A_i$. If $w \in \cup_{i=1}^{q} A_i$, then there exist indices i and j in $\{1, \ldots, q\}$ with $i < j$ such that $z \in A_i$ and $w \in A_j$, and so (z, u_i, w) is a desired rainbow path. If $w \in V(\Gamma_{\langle x \rangle})$, then (z, e, w) is a desired rainbow path. □

By Proposition 3.2, we have the following example.

Example 4 Let $G = \langle a, b : a^{27} = b^7 = e, a^{-1}ba = b^2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{27} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_7$. Then $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 2$.

The following sufficient condition for $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 3$ is immediate from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.6.

Proposition 3.3 *Suppose that G is a noncyclic group with no maximal involutions. If there exists a prime p dividing $|G|$ such that the subgroup of order p in G is not unique, then* $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 3$.

Finally, we determine the rainbow connection number of the power graph of a nilpotent group.

Corollary 3.4 *Let G be a noncyclic nilpotent group with no maximal involutions. Then*

$$\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } G \text{ is isomorphic to } Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_n \text{ for some odd number } n; \\ 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 2$ or 3. Suppose $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_G) = 2$. Then for any prime p dividing $|G|$, the subgroup of order p in G is unique by Proposition 3.3. By [15, Theorem 5.4.10 (ii)], the Sylow p-subgroups are cyclic for any odd prime p, which implies that 2 is a divisor of $|G|$ and the Sylow 2-subgroup is isomorphic to Q_{2^m} for $m \geq 3$. Hence we get $G \cong Q_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ for some odd number n. Let H be a subgroup of G that is isomorphic to Q_{2^m}.

We claim that for any pair of nonadjacent vertices x and y of Γ_H, there does not exist a vertex in $G \setminus H$ adjacent to both x and y in Γ_G. Suppose for the contrary that $\{\{x, z\}, \{y, z\}\} \subseteq E(\Gamma_G)$ for some $z \in G \setminus H$. Then $x = z^s$ and $y = z^t$ for some integers s and t, which implies that $x, y \in \langle z \rangle$. Note that $|x|$ and $|y|$ are powers of

2. It follows that $|x|$ is divisible by $|y|$, or $|y|$ is divisible by $|x|$. Since $x, y \in \langle z \rangle$, one has $\langle x \rangle \subseteq \langle y \rangle$ or $\langle y \rangle \subseteq \langle x \rangle$. Thus x and y are adjacent, a contradiction. Hence, the claim is valid. By the claim, one gets $\mathrm{rc}(\Gamma_H) = 2$. It follows from Example 3 that $m = 3$, and so $G \cong Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. By Example 2, we get the desired result. □

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the referees for many useful suggestions and comments. This research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271047, 11371204) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central University of China.

References

[1] J. Abawajy, A. Kelarev and M. Chowdhury, Power graphs: A survey, *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl.*, **1** (2013), 125–147.

[2] P.J. Cameron, The power graph of a finite group, II, *J. Group Theory*, **13** (2010), 779–783.

[3] P.J. Cameron and S. Ghosh, The power graph of a finite group, *Discrete Math.*, **311** (2011), 1220–1222.

[4] R.P. Carpentier, H. Liu, M. Silva and T. Sousa, Rainbow connection for some families of hypergraphs. *Discrete Math.*, **327** (2014), 40–50.

[5] S. Chakraborty, E. Fischer, A. Matsliah and R. Yuster, Hardness and algorithms for rainbow connection, *J. Comb. Optim.*, **21** (2011), 330–347.

[6] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh and M.K. Sen, Undirected power graphs of semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, **78** (2009), 410–426.

[7] G. Chartrand, G.L. Johns, K.A. McKeon and P. Zhang, Rainbow connection in graphs, *Math. Bohem.*, **133** (2008), 85–98.

[8] P. Dorbec and I. Schiermeyer, E. Sidorowicz and Éric Sopena, Rainbow connection in oriented graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **179** (2014), 69–78.

[9] D. Estetikasari and S. Sy, On the rainbow connection for some corona graphs. *Appl. Math. Sci. (Ruse)*, **7** (2013), 4975–4980.

[10] M. Feng, X. Ma and K. Wang, The structure and metric dimension of the power graph of a finite group, *European J. Combin.*, **43** (2015), 82–97.

[11] M. Feng, X. Ma and K. Wang, The full automorphism group of the power (di)graph of a finite group, preprint arXiv:1406.2788v1 [math.GR] 2014.

[12] A. Frieze and C.E. Tsourakakis, Rainbow connection of sparse random graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **19** (2012), Paper 5, 19 pp.

[13] G. Frobenius, Verallgemeinerung des Sylow'schen Satzes, Berliner Sitzungsber, 1895.

[14] T. Gologranc, M. Gašper and I. Peterin, Rainbow connection and graph products, *Graphs Combin.*, **30** (2014), 591–607.

[15] D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1980.

[16] A.V. Kelarev and S.J. Quinn, A combinatorial property and power graphs of groups, *Contrib. General Algebra*, **12** (2000), 229–235.

[17] A.V. Kelarev and S.J. Quinn, Directed graph and combinatorial properties of semigroups, *J. Algebra*, **251** (2002), 16–26.

[18] A.V. Kelarev and S.J. Quinn, A combinatorial property and power graphs of semigroups, *Comment. Math. Uni. Carolinae*, **45** (2004), 1–7.

[19] A.V. Kelarev, S.J. Quinn and R. Smolikova, Power graphs and semigroups of matrices, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **63** (2001), 341–344.

[20] V.B. Le and Z. Tuza, Finding optimal rainbow connection is hard, Preprint, Rostock Inst. für Informatik, 2009.

[21] H. Li, X. Li and S. Liu, The (strong) rainbow connection numbers of Cayley graphs on Abelian groups, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62** (2011), 4082–4088.

[22] X. Li, M. Liu and I. Schiermeyer, Rainbow connection number of dense graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, **33** (2013), 603–611.

[23] X. Li, Y. Shi and Y. Sun, Rainbow connections of graphs: a survey, *Graphs Combin.*, **29** (2013), 1–38.

[24] X. Li and Y. Sun, Upper bounds for the rainbow connection numbers of line graphs, *Graphs Combin.*, **28** (2012), 251–263.

[25] X. Ma and M. Feng, On the chromatic number of the power graph of a finite group, *Indag. Math. (NS)*, **26** (2015), 626–633.

[26] G. Pólya and G. Szegö, Problems and Theorems in Analysis II, New York, 1976.